

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/699,590	10/30/2003	Robert Donald Villwock	1001-002	1001-002 1895	
75	590 10/21/2005		EXAMINER		
Kristin C. Castle			YOON,	YOON, TAE H	
Suite 100 11231 Gold Express Drive Sacramento, CA 95670		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		RECEIVED	1714		
		OIPE/IAP	DATE MAILED: 10/21/2005		
		MOV # A 200E			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/699,590	VILLWOCK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tae H. Yoon	1714			
Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this communication app					
WHICHEVER - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If NO period for re - Failure to reply with Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE or may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 LTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Supply is specified above, the maximum statutory period within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, d by the Office later than three months after the mailing madjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirn will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			, , , , ,			
1)⊠ Respons	sive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	ily 2005.				
•						
	and the second s					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Cl	aims					
4a) Of th 5) ☐ Claim(s 6) ☑ Claim(s 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application. ne above claim(s) is/are withdraw) is/are allowed.) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.) is/are objected to.) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Pape	ers					
10)⊡ The drav Applican Replace	cification is objected to by the Examine wing(s) filed on is/are: a) accut may not request that any objection to the ment drawing sheet(s) including the correct nor declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). njected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/699,590

Art Unit: 1714

Note new examiner.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-32 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-31 of copending Application No. 10/699,569.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The above rejection is maintained since the terminal disclaimer submitted on July 29, 2005 is improper since a fee was not paid.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,590

Art Unit: 1714

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Boutle et al (US 4,157,424).

Boutle et al teach a method of impregnating felt with a solution of polyurethane in DMF in example 12. Calendering (col. 12, line 20-21) meets the instant pressurized step. Thus, the instant invention lacks novelty.

Claims 16-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boutle et al (US 4,157,424).

The instant invention further recites heating the mixture and a filtration thereafter over Boutle et al. However, heating a mixture of a solid and a solvent in order to expedite dissolution of a solid such as a polymer is a basic chemistry. Also, a recycled polymer such as a polyurethane contains various fillers, and thus a filtration step would be an obvious step.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to heat the mixture of polyurethane and DMF and to filter it thereafter in Boutle et al as the reason given above.

į.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,590

Art Unit: 1714

Ŋ

Claims 8-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boutle et al (US 4,157,424) in view of Dieterich (US 3,939,222).

The instant invention further recites a separation of polyurethane particles from the solution over Boutle et al. Dieterich teaches various methods (freeze-drying, spraydrying or precipitation (sediments)) of obtaining polyurethane particles at col. 3, lines 25-46. Dieterich also teaches the advantage of using powder coating over a solution coating at col. 1.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to obtain polyurethane particles from the solution of Boutle et al with teaching of Dieterich as the reason given above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,590

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tae H Yoor

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

THY/October 17, 2005

IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

956703530 1A04 4 EXP RTN TO E MINIQUE CT LKS CA 95628-6203 40 11/04/05 SEND



